



## STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

1020 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA  
(P.O. BOX 1799, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95808)  
(916) 445-4982

GEORGE R. REILLY  
First District, San Francisco  
ERNEST J. DRONENBURG  
Second District, San Diego  
WILLIAM M. BENNETT  
Third District, San Rafael  
RICHARD NEVINS  
Fourth District, Pasadena  
KENNETH CORY  
Controller, Sacramento  
DOUGLAS D. BELL  
Executive Secretary  
No. 79/144

August 22, 1979

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

FAILURE TO FILE PENALTIES-MULTIPLE  
BUSINESS LOCATIONS

As you all are aware, the Code Sections 441 and 463 which require the filing of a property statement and provide for a 10 percent penalty for failure to comply have been with us for some time. Also, we were under the impression these sections were being fairly uniformly applied. However, recent inquiries lead us to believe this is not the case, especially where a taxpayer has multiple business locations within a county.

The following examples are offered as guidelines for the proper application of the Section 463 penalty:

- (1) A taxpayer has four business locations within a county with \$10,000 cost of taxable personal property at each location. No statements requested by the assessor and none filed. No assessment enrolled by the assessor. Upon discovery can the Section 463 penalty be applied to the assessments at each location?

Answer - Yes. The cost of the subject property in total exceeded \$30,000, thus, the taxpayer is required to file. Since he failed to file for any location the penalty would apply against the total unreported property.

- (2) Same as number one except that, without a request made by the assessor, the taxpayer voluntarily files a statement reporting his property at one of the locations. Can the Section 463 penalty be applied to the property at the unreported locations upon discovery?

Answer - No. In this instance the taxpayer was required to file since his property was over \$30,000 in the county. He does file, but he failed to report for each location. Upon discovery the property at the three other locations would be treated as escapes but without the 463 penalty.

August 22, 1979

It would be treated as an underreporting rather than a nonreporting. However, the answer would be different had the assessor requested him to file by sending a statement for one or more of the four locations. See question number (3).

- (3) Same as number one except the assessor requests the information by sending a property statement for three of the four locations. The taxpayer files for the three locations but does not file for the fourth. Can the Section 463 penalty be applied to the fourth location upon discovery?

Answer - Yes. The assessor has requested a filing by sending a statement to one or more locations. Receipt of a statement is a request that he report all property in the county by location.

- (4) Taxpayer has two business locations each with \$10,000 cost of personal property. Assessor does not request a filing for either locations, and none filed by the taxpayer. On discovery, can the Section 463 penalty be applied?

Answer - No. There was no requirement or request to file; therefore, no penalty applies.

- (5) Same as number four except the assessor requested the taxpayer to file by sending him a statement identified to one of the two locations. Taxpayer files for that location but not the other. Can the Section 463 penalty be applied to the assessment at the unreported location upon discovery?

Answer - Yes. Receipt of a property statement is a request to report, by location, all property in the county.

- (6) Same as number four except the taxpayer voluntarily files a property statement for one location. Can the Section 463 penalty be applied to the remaining location upon discovery?

Answer - No. There has been no requirement to file either through a request by the assessor or by combined cost of the property. Voluntarily filing a statement is not a request by the assessor.

- (7) A taxpayer has \$10,000 cost of taxable personal property in county "A" and \$40,000 in county "B." Is he required to file in county "A" because he has over \$30,000 cost of property in county "B."

To County Assessors

-3-

August 22, 1979

Answer - No. The property that the taxpayer has in county "B" has no effect upon his filing requirement in county "A."

Please contact Bud Florence of this Division if you have questions regarding the above.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Verne Walton".

Verne Walton, Chief  
Assessment Standards Division

VW:jg